

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No.: 3:23-CR-49-TAV-DCP-1
)	
JASON WARE,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

On June 26, 2023, defendant filed a *pro se* Motion for Dismissal [sic] requesting that the charge of escape be dismissed [Doc. 16]. However, defendant is represented by counsel. “The right to defend *pro se* and the right to counsel have been aptly described as ‘two faces of the same coin,’ in that waiver of one right constitutes a correlative assertion of the other.” *United States v. Mosely*, 810 F.2d 93, 97 (6th Cir. 1987) (quoting *United States v. Conder*, 423 F.3d 904, 908 (6th Cir. 1970)); *see United States v. Williams*, 641 F.3d 758, 770 (6th Cir. 2011) (declining to address arguments raised in defendant’s *pro se* brief where defendant was represented by counsel). This Court’s local rules prohibit a party from filing a motion on his own behalf when he is represented by counsel. *See* E.D. Tenn. L.R. 83.4(c).

Defendant continues to be represented by counsel and must make any filings through counsel. *See Williams*, 641 F.3d at 770. The Court **DIRECTS** defendant’s counsel to (1) review defendant’s *pro se* motion and (2) consult with defendant on that

motion. The Court further **DIRECTS** defendant's counsel to advise defendant of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Thomas A. Varlan
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE